

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|
| 10/806,659 | 03/23/2004 | Peter P. LaLonde | 9573.18580 | 1155 |
| 26308 | 7590 05/04/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| RYAN KROMHOLZ & MANION, S.C. POST OFFICE BOX 26618 | | | WOLLSCHLAGER, JEFFREY MICHAEL | |
| MILWAUKE | | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | |
| | • | | 1732 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 05/04/2006 | 5 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | <i>#</i> |
|--|---|--|----------|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/806,659 | LALONDE, PETER P. | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Jeff Wollschlager | 1732 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appearing for Reply | pears on the cover sheet v | vith the correspondence addres | is |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | NATE OF THIS COMMUN 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become A | ICATION. I reply be timely filed INTHS from the mailing date of this communities (ASANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | · |
| Status | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 № 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under № | s action is non-final. ince except for formal ma | • | rits is |
| · | Ex parte Quayle, 1955 C. | D. 11, 455 O.G. 215. | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-18 are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accompany applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | wn from consideration. election requirement. er. epted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeya | nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | 121(d). |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | xaminer. Note the attache | ed Office Action or form PTO-1 | 52. |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | s have been received. s have been received in a rity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | Application No n received in this National Stag | ge |
| Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | Paper No | Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152 |) |

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-16, drawn to a method of making a concrete structure, classified in class 264, subclass 333.
- II. Claims 17 and 18, drawn to a concrete structure, classified in class 52, subclass 742.1

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the product can be made by a materially different process. For example, the product can be made in-situ by working and machining an existing concrete structure. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper:

A telephone call was made to Mr. Daniel Johnson on May 1, 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeff Wollschlager whose telephone number is 571-272-8937. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 7:00 - 4:45, alternating Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Colaianni can be reached on 571-272-1196. The fax phone

Art Unit: 1732

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

UTO

Jeff Wollschlager Examiner Art Unit 1732

May 1, 2006

RY PATENT EXAMINER